基因编辑与共情文明：一项乌托邦思想实验的技术与社会路径

Genetic Editing and Empathic Civilization: A Utopian Thought Experiment on Technological and Social Pathways

摘要| Abstract

本文基于一项长期思想实验，提出一个可遗传的基因编辑方案：通过修改人类基因组，使个体获得“完全共情”能力——即自动感受他人痛苦与快乐，并在极端暴力威胁下暂时屏蔽对攻击者的共情，以允许自卫。方案进一步结合共情诱导因子（生物学传播）与利他主义声誉机制（社会学杠杆），设计了一个从极小编辑者群体逐步扩散至全人类的过渡期模型。尽管当前技术远未成熟，且面临巨大伦理争议，但本文认为，这一“乌托邦种子”具有启发基因学家、社会学家和政策制定者重新思考人类合作本质的潜在价值。

Based on a long-term thought experiment, this paper proposes a heritable gene-editing scheme: modifying the human genome to enable “full empathy”—the automatic experience of others’ suffering and joy—while temporarily blocking empathy toward attackers under extreme violent threats to allow self-defense. The scheme further integrates an empathy-inducing vector (biological transmission) and an altruistic reputation mechanism (sociological leverage) to design a transition model from a tiny group of edited individuals to the entire human population. Although current technology is far from mature and ethical controversies are immense, this paper argues that this “utopian seed” holds potential value for inspiring geneticists, sociologists, and policymakers to rethink the nature of human cooperation.

---

1. 引言| Introduction

人类拥有高度发达的技术（核武器、人工智能、基因编辑），但情感与道德能力仍停留在部落时代——对族内人可共情，对族外人可残忍。这种“石器时代大脑 + 神明武器”的组合，使自我毁灭成为高概率结局。为避免这一结局，理论上只有两条路径：① 电子上传（将意识数字化）；② 基因编辑（修改人性基础）。本文聚焦后者，提出一个具体、可操作的乌托邦方案，并探讨其科学可行性、过渡期社会设计及长期演化逻辑。

Humans possess highly advanced technologies (nuclear weapons, AI, gene editing), yet our emotional and moral capacities remain at the tribal level—empathy for ingroup, cruelty toward outgroups. This combination of “Stone Age brain + divine weapons” makes self-destruction a highly probable outcome. To avoid this,

theoretically only two paths exist: ① digital upload (consciousness digitization); ② genetic editing (modifying the foundation of human nature). This paper focuses on the latter, proposing a concrete, operational utopian scheme and discussing its scientific feasibility, transition-period social design, and long-term evolutionary logic.

---

2. 核心方案描述 | Core Scheme Description

2.1 完全共情基因 | Full Empathy Gene

通过多基因编辑（如影响催产素、血清素、前额叶-杏仁核通路的基因），使个体从受精卵起获得 不可关闭、自动触发 的完全共情能力。这意味着：

· 编辑者能实时感受到任何其他个体的痛苦与快乐，如同发生在自己身上。

· 这种共情无法主动屏蔽，因此编辑者无法对他人撒谎、剥削或冷漠。

Through polygenic editing (e.g., genes affecting oxytocin, serotonin, prefrontal-amygdala pathways), individuals acquire from conception an uncloseable, automatic full empathy capacity. This means:

· Editors feel the pain and joy of any other individual in real time, as if it were their
own.

· This empathy cannot be voluntarily blocked, making it impossible for editors to lie, exploit, or remain indifferent to others.

2.2 极端威胁下的应激屏蔽 | Stress-Induced Block under Extreme Threat

为避免完全共情导致编辑者在面对暴力时束手待毙，设计一个 被动触发、可逆的屏蔽机制：

· 当编辑者自身或周围编辑者遭受 明确的极端暴力威胁（生命危险、严重掠夺）时，对攻击者的共情自动暂时关闭。

· 威胁消除后（或经过短冷却期），共情自动恢复。

· 屏蔽无法主动开启，仅由神经反射回路触发。

To prevent full empathy from rendering editors defenseless against violence, a passively triggered, reversible blocking mechanism is designed:

· When an editor or nearby editors face clear extreme violent threats (life danger, severe predation), empathy toward the attacker is automatically and temporarily blocked.

· After the threat ceases (or after a short cooling period), empathy automatically returns.

· The block cannot be voluntarily activated; it is triggered only by neural reflex circuits.

2.3 过渡期辅助机制 | Transition-Auxiliary Mechanisms

仅靠基因编辑无法立即普及。过渡期需要两个辅助机制：

1. 共情诱导因子（生物传播）：编辑者释放一种可传播的、无害的生物因子（如工程化外泌体），使未编辑者 临时获得部分共情能力（72小时），减少冲突并吸引自愿编辑。

2. 利他主义声誉杠杆（社会机制）：编辑者公开进行无偿利他行为（食物、医疗、教育），赢得未编辑者社区的声望和保护。攻击编辑者会触发未编辑者内部的声誉惩罚。

Only gene editing is insufficient for immediate普及. The transition requires two auxiliary mechanisms:

1. Empathy-inducing vector (biological transmission): Editors release a transmissible, harmless biological agent (e.g., engineered exosomes) that

temporarily grants partial empathy to unedited individuals (72 hours), reducing conflict and attracting voluntary editing.

2. Altruistic reputation leverage (social mechanism): Editors publicly perform无偿 altruistic acts (food, medicine, education), gaining prestige and protection from unedited communities. Attacking editors triggers reputation-based sanctions within unedited society.

2.4 启动与扩散模型 | Initiation and Diffusion Model

方案不能由威权国家启动（否则会武器化）。理想启动者为：一个跨国科学家/伦理学家志愿团队，在政治真空地带（如南极、公海平台）建立小型编辑者社区。

· 第一代：成年人自愿编辑（仅影响自身，不遗传）。

· 第二代：从胚胎开始编辑，实现可遗传。

· 扩散：通过共情诱导因子 + 利他声誉 + 自卫屏蔽，吸引外部成员自愿加入或模仿。数代后，编辑者成为人口主流，未编辑者自我隔离或自愿编辑。

The scheme cannot be initiated by authoritarian states (would be weaponized). The ideal initiator is a multinational voluntary team of scientists/ethicists establishing a small editor community in a political vacuum (e.g., Antarctica, high-seas platform).

· First generation: Adults voluntarily edited (only affects themselves, not heritable).

· Second generation: Embryos edited, making the trait heritable.

· Diffusion: Via empathy-inducing vector + altruistic reputation + self-defense block, attracting external members to join or imitate. After several generations, editors become the population majority; unedited individuals either self-segregate or voluntarily get edited.

---

3. 可行性分析| Feasibility Analysis

3.1 科学基础：当前极限与未来可能 | Scientific Basis: Current Limits and Future Possibilities

· 多基因复杂性：全基因组关联分析显示，认知共情的遗传率约28%，涉及数百个基因。完全共情需要系统性重塑神经回路，远超当前CRISPR能力。

· 应激屏蔽：目前无任何模型能实现“威胁感应式情感开关”。光遗传学可在动物中控制神经元活动，但人类应用遥远。

· 可遗传性：人类生殖系基因编辑在几乎所有国家被法律禁止，且脱靶风险高。

然而，技术爆炸（如更精准的基因编辑器、递送载体、神经接口）可能在未来几十年改变这一图景。本文不要求立即实现，而是提供一个 逻辑可行、技术上可设想的蓝图。

· Polygenic complexity: GWAS estimates heritability of cognitive empathy at ~28%, involving hundreds of genes. Full empathy requires systemic neural circuit remodeling, far beyond current CRISPR.

· Stress block: No model currently exists for a “threat-sensing emotional switch.” Optogenetics can control neuronal activity in animals, but human application is distant.

· Heritability: Human germline gene editing is legally prohibited in almost all countries, with high off-target risks.

Nevertheless, technological explosions (e.g., more precise gene editors, delivery vectors, neural interfaces) could change this picture in coming decades. This paper does not demand immediate realization but provides a logically feasible, technologically conceivable blueprint.

3.2 过渡期社会可行性 | Social Feasibility of Transition

· 共情诱导因子：工程化外泌体、病毒载体等已在动物实验中用于递送神经肽。安全性和可控性是关键挑战，但非原理性不可能。

· 利他声誉杠杆：历史上极端利他主义者（如特蕾莎修女）在危险地区常受保护。结合现代声誉系统（区块链、社交媒体），可形成有效的非暴力威慑。

· 自卫屏蔽：解决了“完全共情者无法自卫”的核心矛盾。屏蔽机制可基于杏仁核-前额叶环路，通过基因编辑设定阈值。

· Empathy-inducing vector: Engineered exosomes, viral vectors, etc., are already used in animal experiments to deliver neuropeptides. Safety and controllability are key challenges, but not principly impossible.

· Altruistic reputation leverage: Historically, extreme altruists (e.g., Mother Teresa)
have often been protected in dangerous regions. Combined with modern reputation systems (blockchain, social media), this can form effective non-violent deterrence.

· Self-defense block: Resolves the core contradiction of “full empaths unable to defend themselves.” The block mechanism could be based on amygdala-prefrontal circuits, with thresholds set via gene editing.

3.3 政治可行性| Political Feasibility

· 威权国家路径：几乎必然武器化（例如编辑“无共情士兵”）。因此方案明确排除国家主导。

· 南极/公海模型：目前《南极条约》禁止军事活动，允许科研。公海平台已有先例（如海洋家园研究所）。法律障碍高，但非绝对不可行。

· 自愿、非暴力、透明：这是赢得国际宽容的关键。编辑者社区不宣称主权，不拒绝贸易，只输出共情文化与技术。

· Authoritarian state path: Almost certainly leads to weaponization (e.g., “empathy-less soldiers”). Hence the scheme explicitly excludes state initiation.

· Antarctica/high-seas model: The Antarctic Treaty prohibits military activity, permits research. High-seas platforms have precedents (e.g., Seasteading Institute). Legal hurdles are high but not absolute.

· Voluntary, non-violent, transparent: Key to gaining international tolerance. The editor community claims no sovereignty, does not refuse trade, and exports only empathic culture and technology.

---

4. 与现有科学讨论的关系 | Relation to Existing Scientific Discourse

当前主流基因编辑伦理聚焦于 治疗疾病（如亨廷顿舞蹈症）和 预防严重遗传病。本文提出的“增强共情”属于 道德增强 范畴，已有学者（如Ingmar Persson, Julian Savulescu）讨论过。本方案的新贡献在于：

1. 完整的过渡期机制（生物传播 + 声誉杠杆 + 自卫屏蔽）。

2. 去国家化的启动模型（南极/公海社区）。

3. 应激屏蔽设计（解决了道德增强中的“脆弱性”问题）。

这些内容尚未在学术文献中系统提出，因此可作为 思想实验种子 供未来研究参考。

Current mainstream gene editing ethics focus on treating diseases (e.g., Huntington’s) and preventing severe genetic disorders. This paper’s “empathy enhancement” falls under moral enhancement, already discussed by scholars such as Ingmar Persson and Julian Savulescu. The novel contributions of this scheme are:

1. Full transition mechanisms (biological transmission + reputation leverage + self-defense block).

2. De-statized initiation model (Antarctica/high-seas community).

3. Stress-block design (solves the “vulnerability” problem in moral enhancement).

These have not been systematically proposed in academic literature, thus serving as a thought-experiment seed for future research.

---

5. 乌托邦性质与价值 | Utopian Nature and Value

承认局限性：一位科学家穷尽一生可能毫无成果。这确实是一个“乌托邦种子”——在现有技术、伦理、政治条件下极难实现。

但乌托邦种子的价值在于：

· 为人类指明一个 逻辑上可能的演化方向。

· 激发跨学科对话：基因学家、神经科学家、社会学家、政治哲学家。

· 警示当前路径的缺陷：如果不修改人性，核武器+部落大脑 = 高概率自我毁灭。

· 提供 可讨论的具体模型，而非空洞的“爱与世界和平”。

Admitted limitations: A scientist might spend a lifetime with no results. This is indeed a “utopian seed”—extremely hard to realize under current technical, ethical, and political conditions.

Yet the value of a utopian seed lies in:

· Pointing to a logically possible evolutionary direction for humanity.

· Inspiring interdisciplinary dialogue: geneticists, neuroscientists, sociologists, political philosophers.

· Warning of the defects in the current path: without modifying human nature,
nuclear weapons + tribal brain = high-probability self-destruction.

· Providing a concrete, debatable model rather than vague “love and peace.”

---

6. 结论| Conclusion

本文提出了一个可遗传的完全共情基因编辑方案，并设计了从极小群体到全人类的非暴力过渡路径。尽管当前技术遥不可及，但该思想实验具有启发科学研究和公共讨论的潜在价值。如同量子力学早期的“薛定谔的猫”，看似荒诞的思想实验往往孕育着未来的突破。这颗种子或许永远不会发芽，但把它存入档案馆，就是为人类保留一种 对抗自我毁灭的逻辑可能性。

This paper has proposed a heritable full-empathy gene-editing scheme and designed a non-violent transition path from a tiny group to all of humanity. Although current technology is far out of reach, this thought experiment holds potential value for inspiring scientific research and public debate. Like Schrödinger’s cat in early quantum mechanics, seemingly absurd thought experiments often孕育future breakthroughs. This seed may never sprout, but archiving it preserves for humanity a logical possibility against self-destruction.

文档版本 | Document Version: 1.0

日期 | Date: 2026-04-14

基于对话 | Based on conversation: 2026-04-14 (User with AI)

存档建议 | Archival Recommendation: 上传至 Zenodo (获取 DOI) 或 哲社预印本平台，同时提交至 Internet Archive (Wayback Machine) 进行时间戳存证。

Upload to Zenodo (for DOI) or Chinese Philosophy & Social Sciences Preprint Platform, and simultaneously submit to Internet Archive (Wayback Machine) for timestamp certification.